



## Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact [support@jstor.org](mailto:support@jstor.org).

**BANKRUPTCY — PROPERTY PASSING TO TRUSTEE — RIGHT OF ACTION FOR FRAUD CAUSING DAMAGE TO PROPERTY.** — The plaintiff in an action of tort for fraudulent representations inducing him to buy bonds at prices greater than their value was adjudicated a bankrupt. *Held*, that the right of action passed to the trustee in bankruptcy. *In re Gay*, 182 Fed. 260 (Dist. Ct., D. Mass.). See *NOTES*, p. 396.

**BILLS AND NOTES — CERTAINTY OF AMOUNT — MARGINAL FIGURES.** — A made a note with no sum named in the body, but with the figures £50 in the upper left-hand corner. *Held*, that this is a valid promissory note for fifty pounds. *Heeney v. Addy*, [1910] 2 I. R. 688.

From the irregular form of the note it is doubtful whether any blank for the amount was left in the body of it. If not, it seems easy to say that the figures are intended to be the sole expression of the amount, and that there is a clear promise to pay that sum. *Hubert v. Grady*, 59 Tex. 502; *Strickland v. Holbrooke*, 75 Cal. 268. If a blank has been left there is an omission of an essential part of the note, and the marginal figures are allowed to supply it. Some jurisdictions in the United States allow this. *Witely v. Michigan Mutual Life Insurance Co.*, 24 N. E. 141 (Ind.); *Ives v. The Farmers' Bank*, 84 Mass. 236. Others do not. *Norwich Bank v. Hyde*, 13 Conn. 279; *Hollen v. Davis*, 59 Ia. 444. The plaintiff could undoubtedly fill in for the fifty pounds, and recover. See *BRANNAN*, NEG. INST. LAW, § 14; *Chestnut v. Chestnut*, 104 Va. 539. And even if he filled in a greater amount a *bonâ fide* purchaser from him could recover for that. *Garrard v. Lewis*, 10 Q. B. D. 30. The note is practically drawn in blank as to the sum payable, and if the plaintiff is willing to accept the marginal figures as such there is nothing to be gained by insisting that he write in the amount.

**BILLS AND NOTES — INDORSEMENT — INDORSEMENT UNDER ASSUMED NAME.** — The defendant received a letter purporting to be from A, requesting a discount on certain vouchers. The letter was in fact written by B and the vouchers forged. The defendant, however, made out the check to A and sent it to the address given. It was received by B, indorsed by him in A's name, and cashed at the plaintiff bank. *Held*, that the bank is not liable. *Mercantile National Bank v. Silverman*, 44 N. Y. L. J. 1449 (N. Y., Sup. Ct.).

In a transaction by letter, where one party is intending to transfer title and the other is acting under an assumed name, the transferor has in fact two intentions, one to pass title to the writer of the letter and the other to pass title to the person who bears the name assumed. That he is not conscious of the composite nature of his intent does not alter the facts of the case. The primary intent, however, seems to be to pass title to the person whose name is assumed; for the transferor had no suspicion of the fraud, and made the advance on the strength of the name. *Cunday v. Lindsay*, 3 App. Cas. 459. If this is true, the impostor had no title to the check and could not indorse it to the bank. *Palm v. Watt*, 7 Hun (N. Y.) 317. It may be, however, that the defendant's negligence in the principal case should preclude him from recovery.

**CONSTITUTIONAL LAW — PERSONAL RIGHTS: CIVIL, POLITICAL, AND RELIGIOUS — GRANDFATHER CLAUSES.** — A Maryland statute provided that the following persons should be entitled to registration as voters in municipal elections: (1) taxpayers assessed for at least \$500, (2) naturalized citizens, (3) their children, (4) citizens who prior to 1868 were entitled to vote in any of the United States, (5) their lawful descendants. *Held*, that the statute is contrary to the Fifteenth Amendment. *Anderson v. Myers*, 182 Fed. 223 (Circ. Ct., D. Md.).

An amendment to the Oklahoma Constitution provided that no person